

Anti-Discrimination Assessment Process and Checklist – *Update to MEM80122 Graduate Diploma* of Engineering

The updated *Training Package Products Development and Endorsement Policy* (effective January 2023) has a new requirement that "an assessment that demonstrates that the training product meets anti-discrimination legislation, and associated standards and regulations has been undertaken, including the Disability Standards for Education 2005.¹" This is referred to as an Anti-Discrimination Assessment (ADA) and is included as part of the training package product submission pack to the Training Package Assurance Body (TPAB).

This Anti-Discrimination Assessment document describes the processes to be followed and considerations that must be made during a training package product project to ensure that:

- the methods and processes used to engage and consult with stakeholders and to receive feedback from them have been inclusive
- the content of products that are reviewed and developed do not discriminate in their language, and take into consideration any requirements in the products that may discriminate
- where possible, experts have been engaged to address any potential concerns related to meeting anti-discrimination requirements.

Further information is included below.

Checklist

The document also includes a checklist where project managers and developers must describe the actions taken and considerations made to meet anti-discrimination requirements during their project. Each project will have an allocated ADA assessor who will then check that the processes have been properly followed by reviewing the responses in the checklist and reviewing final draft products to check these requirements have been met.

Purpose and application

Training products should incorporate contemporary language, for example, people / person with a disability, rather than special or additional needs, consider how the delivery of training may be supported through reasonable adjustments when creating assessment requirements,

¹ Training Package Products Development and Endorsement Process Polics, Section 5.1 Submission of draft training products to the Assurance Body, detail 13.



particularly performance evidence, and consider what terms are appropriate for their sector, i.e., client, person, individual.

The anti-discrimination assessment (ADA) should consider the following (noting this is not an exhaustive list of considerations):

- the design of packaging rules that reduce disadvantage by providing a range of elective choices.
- The inclusion of multiple entry and exit points to promote flexibility and increase access for a broad range of individuals.
- Whether the products limit unnecessary references to location to allow access by people in regional and remote areas.
- Whether the products limit the use of pre-requisites thereby limiting barriers to entry.
- How foundation skills have been described and/or whether they are explicit in the products, and whether they reflect the foundation skills required for the vocational outcome.
- Whether the products use inclusive language.
- Whether the products have eliminated discriminatory language.
- Whether the products indirectly discriminate against a protected attribute*. (The Age Discrimination Act 2004, Disability Discrimination Act 1992 and Sec Discrimination Act 1984 specify areas where it is unlawful to discriminate.

*The protected attributes broadly cover discrimination including race, colour, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, relationship status, religion, age and disability (physical, intellectual, psychiatric, sensory, neurological or learning disability).

The process on the following pages outlines some of the ways to avoid discriminatory language and tasks.

Information for project managers

Project managers (PM) should refer to and complete this document from the beginning of the project and through to its submission.

Prior to the review of existing products, take note of any language which exists that may be considered discriminatory or where reasonable adjustments may not be possible.

During the review period, consultation with stakeholders should include discussion about any problematic areas and an explanation given about the importance of the type of language which should be used and how reasonable adjustment can be included in the products.

All products must be checked against the requirements of the current legislation before signing the ADA checklist.

Once completed, it is to be checked and signed off by the ADA assessor.

This information is to be submitted in conjunction with the final submission package.

Anti-discrimination assessment for training package products in MEM Manufacturing and Engineering Training Package Release 4



Information for training package product developers

The developer is to determine if the content of each product contains requirements that may be considered discriminatory. While it is preferred that requirements are not too prescriptive, care should also be taken about being too generic.

Non-discriminatory language is crucial especially in the areas of Foundation Skills, Performance Criteria, Performance Evidence and Assessment Conditions. Consideration should be given to:

- Foundation Skills specifically 'Oral Communication'. Is it critical to include?
 Consider someone who may be nonspeaking. Using this skill may be considered discriminatory.
- Performance Criteria and Performance Evidence care should be taken to ensure that requirements in these areas are not too prescriptive. What is essential in the performance of the job role? Can reasonable adjustments be made?
- Assessment Conditions are the requirements too specific? Can reasonable
 adjustments be made? Does the prescribed location prevent a person being able to
 complete the unit of competency, skill set or qualification? What alternatives can be
 included? For example, can the assessment be simulated or contextualised?
- Other areas to be discussed during stakeholder consultation should be prerequisites and entry requirements. Are they necessary and could they exclude an individual from completing the qualification, skill set or unit of competency?



Relevant documentation and requirements

DOCUMENT	SECTION	ADA REQUIREMENTS
TPPDEPP	Step 2.2 Step 3.1 Step 5.1	Undertake consultations Determine revisions Submission of draft training products to the Assurance Body – Compliance with requirements
Training Product Submission form	5.2 – Vulnerable and Minority Cohorts	This section of the submission requires a description of how the consultation addressed the needs of vulnerable or minority cohorts. It should include women, people with disability, culturally and linguistically diverse communities, and First Nations people. This includes a description of how the method, location and timing of consultation activities supported engagement. This section must be completed regardless of changes to the strategy over time.
Age Discrimination Act 2004	Part 1 – Preliminary. S4. Simplified outline Part 4 – Unlawful age discrimination etc. S.26 Education	Direct discrimination – treating a person less favourably in situations where age should not be considered Indirect discrimination – imposing a condition, requirement or practice which is unreasonable and likely to disadvantage It is unlawful to discriminate against a person on the ground of the person's age: • By refusing to accept the person's application as a student • By denying the person access to any benefit provided by the educational authority (the body administering and educational institution) • Schedule 1 Laws for which an exemption is provided -https://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/legis/cth/consol_act/ada2004174/sch1.html
Disability Discrimination Act 1992	S4	The definition of disability in the DDA can be found in section 4 of the Act. Disability in relation to the DDA includes: • Physical • Intellectual • Psychiatric • Sensory



DOCUMENT	SECTION	ADA REQUIREMENTS
	S 5 and 6	 Neurological, and Learning disabilities, as well as Physical disfigurement. These sections set out the types of conduct that will constitute direct and indirect disability discrimination. Direct disability discrimination is when a person with disability is treated less favourably than a person without disability because of the disability, and in circumstances that are not materially different. Indirect disability discrimination occurs when a person with disability: is required to comply with a requirement or condition but they cannot comply because
Sex	S 5, 6 and 7	 of their disability, and that requirement or condition is not reasonable in the circumstances. a failure to make reasonable adjustments to assist the person with disability to meet requirements or conditions The Sex Discrimination Act 1984 (Cth) ('SDA') covers discrimination on the ground of:
Discrimination Act 1984		 sex (defined in s 5); marital status (defined in s 6); pregnancy or potential pregnancy (defined in s 7); and family responsibilities (defined in s 7A)
Racial Discrimination Act 1975		The Act makes it unlawful to discriminate against a person because of his or her race, colour, descent, national origin or ethnic origin, or immigrant status. Direct discrimination happens when a person is treated less favourably than another person in a similar situation because of his or her race, colour, descent, national or ethnic origin or immigrant status. Indirect discrimination can happen when employers or service providers put in place conditions, requirements or practices which appear to treat everyone the same, but which disadvantage some people because of their race, colour, descent, national or ethnic origin or



DOCUMENT	SECTION	ADA REQUIREMENTS
		immigrant status. If the requirement is not reasonable regarding the circumstances of the case,
		it could be indirect discrimination.

Anti-discrimination assessment checklist

Project title: Update to MEM80122 Graduate Diploma of Engineering

Project scope: The project proposes changes to the following training products: Review 1 qualification – MEM80122 Graduate Diploma of Engineering

Checklist item	Project manager response and evidence	ADA assessor response (insert comments where necessary)
Have the packaging rules	Yes	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
been designed to reduce	How?	
disadvantage?		Yes ☑
	The scope of the update to MEM80122 Graduate	
For example, the range of	Diploma of Engineering centred on changes to	No
elective choices.	the packaging rules. The packaging rules were	
	updated to bring the total number of required	Comments
	units for completion from seven to ten to	
	support articulation arrangements.	The changes to MEM80122 Graduate Diploma of
		Engineering involved increasing the number of units, this
	This increase in the number of total units allows	would improve the range of choice.
	the learner to select a greater number (and wider	
	range) of elective units, resulting in a wider range	
	of pathway opportunities.	



	These actions have increased the range of	
	elective choices, therefore reducing	
	disadvantage within the packaging rules.	
	No	
	Why not?	
Have pre-requisites or entry	Yes	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
requirements been limited to	How?	· · ·
promote flexibility and		Yes ☑
increase access for a broad	No changes were made to existing pre-requisite	
range of individuals?	or entry requirements during this project.	No
	No additional pre-requisites were added to the	Comments
	qualification. The Group B elective table was	
	added and contains 13 units to meet pre-	There is no change.
	requisite requirements to other units	
	No	
	Why not?	
How have Performance	No changes have been made to the existing	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
Criteria, Foundation Skills,	Performance Criteria, Foundation Skills,	
Performance Evidence and	Performance Evidence and Assessment	Yes ☑
Assessment Conditions been	Conditions for any of the units within the	
considered with respect to	MEM80122 Graduate Diploma of Engineering	No
anti-discrimination?	qualification.	
		Comments
		There is no change
Do the products use	Yes	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
contemporary language?	How?	
		Yes ☑
For example, using people	No new training products were developed during	
with a disability rather than	this project. All considerations for inclusion of	No
'special needs' or 'additional	contemporary language were made during the	



needs', using nonspeaking	development of these training products. The	Comments
instead of nonverbal.	language used in the training products is generic	
Avoidance of acronyms like 'ATSI'.	(i.e. 'personnel'). No Why not?	No new training products were developed during this project; therefore, there is no change.
Do the products limit	Yes	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
unnecessary references to	How?	' ,
location, enabling access by		Yes ☑
people in regional and remote	No new training products were developed during	
areas?	this project. All considerations for access to	No
	remote areas were made during the	
	development of these training products.	Comments
	The 'Implementation information - Access and equity' section within the MEM Manufacturing and Engineering Companion Volume Implementation Guide outlines no limitations on location, stating "an individual's access to training and assessment should not be adversely affected by restrictions placed on the location or context of the training and assessment beyond the requirements specified in the MEM Manufacturing and Engineering Training and must be bias-free."	No new training products were developed during this project; therefore, there is no change.
How have protected attributes	No new training products were developed during	Has this requirement been adequately considered?
been considered in the	this project. All considerations for protected	
development of the training	attributes were made during the development of	Yes ☑
products?	these training products by removing / not	
	including any discriminatory language. Existing	No



For example, ensuring	training products did not include any	
products do not indirectly	discriminatory language.	Comments
discriminate against a		
protected attribute.		No new training products were developed during this
		project; therefore, there is no change.



Declaration

This Anti-discrimination assessment has been completed by Camille La Brooy

The assessor is satisfied by the information provided by the project manager and the products reviewed that the training products being submitted meet, as far as practicable, relevant anti-discrimination legislation, and that evidence of processes to address (such as avenues to raise concerns is encouraged) is reasonable and adequate.

Signature:

Date: 12/11/24